

3/17/83 2:10 PM

consultants - Matt + ^{Jack}
^{Ralph} Polunbo
Chem Pro, Ron West, ^{Ralph} Polunbo
CSSI, Cal Palmer (w/ Chem Nuclear)
DOE, GG, GB
EPA, KF, Jseva, ABS, CRice

re: PCB Ron West - what levels are we looking for ^{Ralph Polunbo} ₁ wants criteria (backgd) ^{KDF}
Analytical Protocol - what levels -

G. Burger - wants splits

R.P. - what will be significant - what criteria - to see in writing

RW - PCB is picked up in total PCH - no need to look for it

GB - rectify data gap - every well

McGarcia - will send that letter (out tomorrow)

RP - wants specificity in areas of disagreement

ME - ^{want CP10 to} begin drawing off grid

R.P. -- will interfere

Matt - Recovery wells - band-aids - change of geologic flow
will make it difficult to access subsurface characteristics of D's

GG - will be ok - if source not cut off will show more

Matt - to what purpose - recovery?

McGarcia - why not remove contaminated GW?

Ralph Polunbo - what legal standard? what citation

KDF (above backgd) - 264.90 etc

Matt: Aquifer will clean itself - what levels -> stop pump

RP - wants criteria / status when to stop in writing
when enough?

Matt - want begin pumping

GG - could go for backgd on C contamination

Ron West - Consultant build -> data (to see then direct cost)
- Recon. is at odds with feedback of govt
- wants support for agency going against consultant recon.
- "rationale"

GB - ^{consultants} tried to make recommendation without adequate documentation - won't be instantly diluted

RW: if worst case is wrong tell us why or if report is poor why?
-> reevaluation

M Garcia - agreed to look a report - get together (for technical folks) w/o attorneys
maybe should -> formal feedback

RP - timeframe of acquisition (to C Palmer)
not going to resolve by end of March
difficulties may dissolve with specific communication
don't worry about time table

C Palmer (C-N) - maybe time critical has a problem
recommends getting together - frequently

RP - suggests informal

ME - which way do you want it ^{increasing frequency}

GB - agreements w/ that were broken (gizmos -> 6 months)

C Palmer/Matt - is being revised - communication problem
-> sampling go monitor

GB: problem in DOE office

PL: - contact pt was J. Corroy
obtained by next secret letter - found out was not supported

M Garcia - we are, as a courtesy, are explanation data disagree

RP - forget time deadline - go back to meeting (re: technical)
MGarcia - J. Seiva is No. 1 and busy - will do as much
on this as possible

RP - why disagree - what precisely must we do.

RW - formal response requesting meetings to depolarize
(cc to KDF)

GB - pursue analysis

RP - doesn't want to impede by formal excess

RW - to EG: is it water quality or HUI problem?

EG - is a combination - G. Berger takes lead

MGarcia off-site monitoring issue

EPA's position - can require but not tidy package

Don't know what will be required

Shouldn't impede placement of off-site wells (2-3)

RP - what will this add to what we know

GB - nervous about ~~what~~ what went before but asks them
to sit up an off-site monitor plan

RP - site is now cleaner

is there a reason to go off - what can you do

(can be addressed by what we think)

can give what period already picked up

- may be that already at mine (worst - best (low))

or " " still on site (slow assumption)

EG - wants plans → cost estimation based on proposal
to study down gradient

SCL 05915

- Robert - went around Georgetown area looking for possible contamination sources - 60 sites with containers
- will present in capsule report (+ 25 yrs history)
- know that some decomposition (i.e. 1,2 trans dichloro ethylene (from tri) - & volatility
- decomposition & rate (has been identified)

- Arthur D. Little - environmental fate modeling
- soil breakdown stuff - has it been done
- look at modeling 1st (then maybe monitoring - reconsider)

McCarria - ^{know} CN has problem w/ aqui. (to C. Palmer)
our problem is to try (hardly) to resolve some (actual or potential) problems - can't stick to CN's time table

- Resume technical communication ASAP
- want check of how in our meeting

RP - and set things up - no letters
- let technical people deal with issues until can't resolve differences

ME - how to avoid this (today's) problem of communication

(Palmer) - timeframes not tied to acquisition

Waste Mgmt still helping fund studies

25 yrs of inst. activity

CN wants to know about all site actions

how to resolve complexities beforehand

may be financial - i. wants to know how or are going to utilize the data - does CN have responsibility?

SCL 05916